



## Retrieving information from subordination

Jean Bertoin, Marc Yor

### ► To cite this version:

| Jean Bertoin, Marc Yor. Retrieving information from subordination. 2010. hal-00484054

**HAL Id: hal-00484054**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00484054>**

Preprint submitted on 17 May 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Retrieving information from subordination

Jean Bertoin\*

Marc Yor<sup>†‡</sup>

## Abstract

We show that if  $(X_s, s \geq 0)$  is a right-continuous process,  $Y_t = \int_0^t ds X_s$  its integral process and  $\tau = (\tau_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  a subordinator, then the time-changed process  $(Y_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  allows to retrieve the information about  $(X_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  when  $\tau$  is stable, but not when  $\tau$  is a gamma subordinator. This question has been motivated by a striking identity in law involving the Bessel clock taken at an independent inverse Gaussian variable.

**Key words:** Time-change, subordinator, information retrieval.

## 1 Introduction and main statements

### 1.1 Motivation

In Dufresne and Yor [4], it was remarked that by combining Bougerol's identity in law (see, e.g. Bougerol [3] and Alili *et al.* [1]) and the symmetry principle of Désiré André, there is the identity in distribution for every fixed  $\ell \geq 0$

$$H_{\tau_\ell} \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} \tau_{a(\ell)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $a(\ell) = \text{Argsinh}(\ell) = \log(\ell + \sqrt{1 + \ell^2})$ ,

$$H_t = \int_0^t ds R_s^{-2}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is the Bessel clock constructed from a two-dimensional Bessel process  $(R_s, s \geq 0)$  issued from 1, and  $(\tau_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  is a stable  $(1/2)$  subordinator independent from  $(R_s, s \geq 0)$ .

---

\*Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, UPMC, 175 rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France.  
Email: jean.bertoin@upmc.fr

<sup>†</sup>Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, UPMC, 175 rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France.  
Email: deapropa@proba.jussieu.fr

<sup>‡</sup>Institut Universitaire de France.

In [4], the authors wondered whether (1) extends at the level of processes indexed by  $\ell \geq 0$ , or equivalently whether  $(H_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  has independent increments. Our main result entails that this is not the case. Indeed, Theorem 1 below implies that for every  $\ell \geq 0$ , the filtration  $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  generated by  $(H_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  contains the filtration generated by  $(R_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$ . On the other hand,  $((R_s, H_s), s \geq 0)$  is a Markov (additive) process, and since subordination by an independent stable subordinator preserves the Markov property,  $((R_{\tau_\ell}, H_{\tau_\ell}), \ell \geq 0)$  is Markovian in its own filtration, which coincides with  $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  by Theorem 1. It is immediately seen that for any  $\ell' > 0$ , the conditional distribution of  $H_{\tau_{\ell+\ell'}}$  given  $(R_{\tau_\ell}, H_{\tau_\ell})$  does not only depend on  $H_{\tau_\ell}$ , but on  $R_{\tau_\ell}$  as well. Consequently the process  $(H_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  is not even an autonomous Markov process. We point out that the process  $(R_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  is Markov (by subordination), and refer to a forthcoming paper [2] for details on the semigroup of  $(R_{\tau_\ell}, H_{\tau_\ell})$ .

## 1.2 Main results

More generally, we consider in this work an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process  $(X_s, s \geq 0)$  with right-continuous sample paths, and  $(\tau_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  a stable subordinator with index  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We stress that we do *not require*  $X$  and  $\tau$  to be independent. Introduce

$$Y_u = \int_0^u ds X_s, \quad u \geq 0,$$

and the right-continuous time-changed processes

$$\hat{X}_\ell = X_{\tau_\ell} \text{ and } \hat{Y}_\ell = Y_{\tau_\ell}, \quad \ell \geq 0.$$

We are interested in comparing the information embedded in the processes  $\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{Y}$ , respectively. We state our main result.

**Theorem 1** *The right-continuous filtration  $(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  generated by the process  $(\hat{Y}_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  contains the right-continuous filtration  $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  generated by  $(\hat{X}_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$ .*

A perusal of the proof (given below in Section 2) shows that Theorem 1 can be extended to the case when it is only assumed that  $\tau$  is a subordinator such that the tail of its Lévy measure is regularly varying at 0 with index  $-\alpha$ , which suggests that this result might hold for more general subordinators. On the other hand, if  $(N_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  is any increasing step-process issued from 0, such as for instance a Poisson process, then the time-changed process  $(Y_{N_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  stays at 0 until the first jump time of  $N$  which is strictly positive a.s. This readily implies that the germ- $\sigma$ -field

$$\bigcap_{\ell > 0} \sigma(Y_{N_\ell}, \lambda \leq \ell)$$

is trivial, in the sense that every event of this field has probability either 0 or 1. Focussing on subordinators with infinite activity, it is interesting to point out that Theorem 1 fails when one replaces the stable subordinator  $\tau$  by a gamma subordinator, as it can be seen from the following observation.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $\gamma = (\gamma_t, t \geq 0)$  be a gamma-subordinator and  $\xi$  a random variable with values in  $(0, \infty)$  which is independent of  $\gamma$ . Then the germ- $\sigma$ -field*

$$\bigcap_{t>0} \sigma(\xi\gamma_s, s \leq t)$$

*is trivial.*

We point out that Proposition 1 holds more generally when  $\gamma$  is replaced by a subordinator with logarithmic singularity, also called of class  $(\mathcal{L})$ , in the sense that the drift coefficient is zero and the Lévy measure is absolutely continuous with density  $g$  such that  $g(x) = g_0x^{-1} + G(x)$  where  $g_0$  is some strictly positive constant and  $G : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a measurable function such that

$$\int_0^1 |G(x)|dx < \infty, \quad g(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^\infty g(x)dx < \infty.$$

Indeed, it has been shown by von Renesse *et al.* [5] that such subordinators enjoy a quasi-invariance property analogous to that of the gamma subordinator, and this is the key to Proposition 1.

It is natural to investigate a similar question in the framework of stochastic integration. For the sake of simplicity, we shall focus on the one-dimensional case. We thus consider a real valued Brownian motion  $(B_t, t \geq 0)$  in some filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  and an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted continuous process  $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ , and consider the stochastic integral

$$I_t = \int_0^t X_s dB_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We claim the following.

**Proposition 2** *Fix  $\eta > 0$  and assume that the sample paths of  $(X_t, t \geq 0)$  are Hölder-continuous with exponent  $\eta$  a.s. Suppose also that  $(\tau_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  is a stable subordinator of index  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , which is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ . Then the right-continuous filtration  $(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\ell, \ell \geq 0)$  generated by the subordinate stochastic integral  $(\hat{I}_\ell = I_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  contains the right-continuous filtration generated by  $(|X_{\tau_\ell}|, \ell \geq 0)$ .*

The proofs of these statements are given in the next section.

## 2 Proofs

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.

We first observe that the proof can be reduced to showing that the germ- $\sigma$ -field  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0$  contains the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\hat{X}_0 = X_0$ . Indeed, let us take this for granted, fix  $\ell > 0$  and define  $\tau'_u = \tau_{\ell+u} - \tau_\ell$  and  $X'_v = X_{v+\tau_\ell}$ . Then  $\tau'$  is again a  $\text{stable}(\alpha)$  subordinator and  $X'$  a right-continuous process, and

$$\hat{Y}_{\ell+u} - \hat{Y}_\ell = \int_0^{\tau'_u} dv X'_v.$$

Hence  $X'_0 = \hat{X}_\ell$  is measurable with respect to  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_\ell$ , and our claim follows.

Thus we only need to verify that  $X_0$  is  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0$ -measurable. In this direction, we shall use the following version of the Law of Large Numbers for the jumps  $\Delta\tau_s = \tau_s - \tau_{s-}$  of a stable subordinator. Fix any  $m > 2/\alpha$  and introduce for any given  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$N_{\varepsilon,b} = \text{Card}\{s \leq \varepsilon : b\Delta\tau_s > \varepsilon^m\}.$$

Note that  $N_{\varepsilon,b} \equiv 0$  for  $b \leq 0$ . For the sake of simplicity, we henceforth suppose that the tail of the Lévy measure of  $\tau$  is  $x \mapsto x^{-\alpha}$ , which induces no loss of generality. So for  $b > 0$ ,  $N_{\varepsilon,b}$  is a Poisson variable with parameter

$$\varepsilon(\varepsilon^m/b)^{-\alpha} = b^\alpha \varepsilon^{1-m\alpha}.$$

Combining a standard argument based on the Borel-Cantelli lemma and Chebychev's inequality with monotonicity, we get that for  $\varepsilon = 1/n$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-\alpha m} N_{1/n,b} = b^\alpha \quad \text{for all } b > 0, \text{ almost-surely.} \quad (2)$$

Let us assume that the process  $X$  is real-valued as the case of higher dimensions will then follow by considering coordinates. Set

$$J_\varepsilon = \text{Card}\{s \leq \varepsilon : \Delta\hat{Y}_s > \varepsilon^m\},$$

where as usual  $\Delta\hat{Y}_s = \hat{Y}_s - \hat{Y}_{s-}$ . We note that

$$\Delta\hat{Y}_s - X_0\Delta\tau_s = \int_{\tau_{s-}}^{\tau_s} du (X_u - X_0).$$

Hence if we set  $a_\varepsilon = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau_\varepsilon} |X_u - X_0|$ , then

$$(X_0 - a_\varepsilon) \Delta \tau_s \leq \Delta \hat{Y}_s \leq (X_0 + a_\varepsilon) \Delta \tau_s,$$

from which we deduce

$$N_{\varepsilon, X_0 - a_\varepsilon} \leq J_\varepsilon \leq N_{\varepsilon, X_0 + a_\varepsilon}.$$

Since  $X$  has right-continuous sample paths a.s., we have  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} a_\varepsilon = 0$  a.s., and taking  $\varepsilon = 1/n$ , we now deduce from (2) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-m\alpha} J_\varepsilon = (X_0^+)^{\alpha}.$$

Hence  $X_0^+$  is  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0$ -measurable, and the same argument also shows that  $X_0^-$  is  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_0$ -measurable. This completes the proof of our claim.  $\square$

## 2.2 Proof of Proposition 1.

Let  $\Omega$  denote the space of càdlàg paths  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  endowed with the right-continuous filtration  $(\mathcal{A}_t, t \geq 0)$  generated by the canonical process  $\omega_t = \omega(t)$ , and write  $\mathbb{Q}$  for the law on  $\Omega$  of the process  $(\xi \gamma_t, t \geq 0)$ .

It is well known that for every  $x > 0$  and  $t > 0$ , the distribution of the process  $(x \gamma_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to that of the gamma process  $(\gamma_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$  with density

$$x^{-t} \exp((1 - 1/x) \gamma_t).$$

Because  $\xi$  and  $\gamma$  are independent, this implies that for any event  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{A}_r$  with  $r < t$

$$\mathbb{Q}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}(\xi^{-t} \exp((1 - 1/\xi) \gamma_t) \mathbf{1}_{\{\gamma \in \Lambda\}}).$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \xi^{-t} \exp((1 - 1/\xi) \gamma_t) = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and the convergence also holds in  $L^1(\mathbb{P})$  by an application of Scheffé's lemma (alternatively, one may also invoke the convergence of backwards martingales). We deduce that for every  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{Q}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{P}(\gamma \in \Lambda)$$

and the right-hand-side must be 0 or 1 because the gamma process fulfills the Blumenthal's 0-1 law.  $\square$

## 2.3 Proof of Proposition 2.

The guiding line is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 1. In particular it suffices to verify that  $|X_0|$  is measurable with respect to the germ- $\sigma$ -field  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_0$ .

Because  $B$  and  $\tau$  are independent, the subordinate Brownian motion  $(\hat{B}_\ell = B_{\tau_\ell}, \ell \geq 0)$  is a symmetric stable Lévy process with index  $2\alpha$ . With no loss of generality, we may suppose that the tail of its Lévy measure  $\Pi$  is given by  $\Pi(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-x, x]) = x^{-2\alpha}$ . As a consequence, for every  $m > 2/\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , if define

$$N_{\varepsilon,b} = \text{Card}\{s \leq \varepsilon : |b\Delta\hat{B}_s|^2 > \varepsilon^m\},$$

then  $N_{\varepsilon,b}$  is a Poisson variable with parameter  $|b|^{2\alpha}\varepsilon^{1-m\alpha}$ , and this readily yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-\alpha m} N_{1/n,b} = |b|^{2\alpha} \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ almost-surely.} \quad (3)$$

Next set

$$J_\varepsilon = \text{Card}\{s \leq \varepsilon : |\Delta\hat{I}_s|^2 > \varepsilon^m\},$$

where as usual  $\hat{I}_s = I_{\tau_s}$ , and observe that

$$\Delta\hat{I}_s = X_0\Delta\hat{B}_s + (X_{\tau_{s-}} - X_0)\Delta\hat{B}_s + \int_{\tau_{s-}}^{\tau_s} (X_u - X_{\tau_{s-}})dB_u. \quad (4)$$

Recall the assumption that the paths of  $X$  are Hölder-continuous with exponent  $\eta > 0$ , so the  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -stopping time

$$T = \inf \left\{ u > 0 : \sup_{0 \leq v < u} (u-v)^{-\eta} |X_u - X_v|^2 > 1 \right\}$$

is strictly positive a.s. In particular, if we write  $\Lambda_\varepsilon = \{\tau_\varepsilon < T\}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda_\varepsilon)$  tends to 1 as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ .

We fix  $a > 0$ , consider

$$K_{\varepsilon,a} = \text{Card} \left\{ s \leq \varepsilon : \left| \int_{\tau_{s-}}^{\tau_s} (X_u - X_{\tau_{s-}})dB_u \right|^2 > a\varepsilon^m \right\},$$

and claim that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\alpha m - 1} \mathbb{E}(K_{\varepsilon,a}, \Lambda_\varepsilon) = 0. \quad (5)$$

If we take (5) for granted, then we can complete the proof by an easy adaptation of the argument in Theorem 1. Indeed, we can then find a strictly increasing sequence of integers  $(n(k), k \in \mathbb{N})$

such that with probability one, for all rational numbers  $a > 0$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n(k)^{1-\alpha m} K_{1/n(k),a} = 0. \quad (6)$$

We observe from (4) that for any  $a \in (0, 1/2)$ , if  $|\Delta \hat{I}_s|^2 > \varepsilon^m$ , then necessarily either

$$|X_0 \Delta \hat{B}_s|^2 > (1 - 2a)^2 \varepsilon^m,$$

or

$$|(X_{\tau_{s-}} - X_0) \Delta \hat{B}_s|^2 > a^2 \varepsilon^m,$$

or

$$\left| \int_{\tau_{s-}}^{\tau_s} (X_u - X_{\tau_{s-}}) dB_u \right|^2 > a^2 \varepsilon^m.$$

As

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon} |X_{\tau_{s-}} - X_0| = 0,$$

this easily entails, using (3) and (6), that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} n(k)^{1-\alpha m} J_{1/n(k)} &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n(k)^{1-\alpha m} N_{1/n(k), (1-2a)^{-1}|X_0|} \\ &= (1 - 2a)^{-2\alpha} |X_0|^{2\alpha}, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

where the identity in the second line stems from (3). A similar argument also gives

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} n(k)^{1-\alpha m} J_{1/n(k)} \geq (1 + 2a)^{-2\alpha} |X_0|^{2\alpha}, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

and as  $a$  can be chosen arbitrarily close to 0, we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n(k)^{1-\alpha m} J_{1/n(k)} = |X_0|^{2\alpha}, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence  $|X_0|$  is  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_0$ -measurable.

Thus we need to establish (5). As  $\tau$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ , we have by an application of Markov's inequality that for every  $s \leq \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left( \left| \int_{\tau_{s-}}^{\tau_s} (X_u - X_{\tau_{s-}}) dB_u \right|^2 > a \varepsilon^m, \Lambda_\varepsilon \mid \tau \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a \varepsilon^m} \int_0^{\Delta \tau_s} dv v^\eta \leq \frac{(\Delta \tau_s)^{1+\eta}}{a \varepsilon^m}. \end{aligned}$$



It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(K_{\varepsilon,a}, \Lambda_\varepsilon) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{s\leq\varepsilon}\left(\frac{(\Delta\tau_s)^{1+\eta}}{a\varepsilon^m}\wedge 1\right)\right) \\ &= \varepsilon c \int_{(0,\infty)} dx x^{-1-\alpha} \left(\frac{x^{1+\eta}}{a\varepsilon^m}\wedge 1\right) = O(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha m/(1+\eta)}),\end{aligned}$$

where for the second line we used the fact that the Lévy measure of  $\tau$  is  $cx^{-1-\alpha}dx$  for some unimportant constant  $c > 0$ . This establishes (5) and hence completes the proof of our claim.

□

## References

- [1] L. Alili, D. Dufresne and M. Yor: Sur l'identité de Bougerol pour les fonctionnelles exponentielles du mouvement brownien avec drift. In: M. Yor (ed.): *Exponential functional and principal values related to Brownian motion*, pp. 3-14. *Bibl. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, Madrid, 1997.
- [2] J. Bertoin, D. Dufresne and M. Yor: A remarkable two-dimensional Markov process derived from Bougerol's identity. In preparation (May 2010).
- [3] Ph. Bougerol: Exemples de théorèmes locaux sur les groupes résolubles. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B* **19** (1983), 369-391.
- [4] D. Dufresne and M. Yor: A two-dimensional extension of Bougerol's identity in law for the exponential functional of Brownian motion: the story so far. In preparation
- [5] M.-K. von Renesse, M. Yor, L. Zambotti: Quasi-invariance properties of a class of subordinators. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **188**, (2008), 2038-2057.